

Public policy must also equip state and local governments to respond to Covid-19. The Federal Reserve has made available more than \$2.3 trillion to support the financial system during the pandemic but has offered far less support for state and local governments. Often unable to run deficits, these governments must cut spending when revenue declines. Such cuts will probably have several detrimental effects: scaling back public health efforts, defunding state programs addressing basic needs, and spurring public-sector layoffs that stall economic recovery.

These policy failures disproportionately affect marginalized communities with high rates of underlying medical conditions. Moreover, in a pandemic, anything that increases the opportunity for disease transmission affects everyone. Such is the paradox of inequity: even the well-off are worse off than they would be if systems were more equitable.

Beyond revealing the need to integrate public health efforts with broader public policy, Covid-19 has demonstrated once again the outsized roles of structural racism and social determinants of health. When exposed to the same virus, Black, Latinx, and Indigenous Americans have more severe disease and higher mortality than White Americans. These disparities are structured by the conditions in which individuals are “born, grow, live, work, and age.”^{1,2} Greater investment in hospitals and clinics that serve marginalized communities is sorely needed.³ But clinical care alone cannot compensate for a lifetime of accumulated disadvantage, nor will it dismantle the structures that perpetuate health inequities.

To achieve health equity, we

need to reach beyond the health care system — and think big. New social policies on a few key fronts could advance both health equity and the Covid-19 response.

First, we propose establishing a universal food income. Food insecurity is a health equity issue that disproportionately affects racial and ethnic minority groups, people with lower incomes, and rural communities.⁴ The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is effective but has its limits: benefit levels are often insufficient to permit a healthy diet, and many people with incomes above the SNAP cutoff are nonetheless food-insecure. Universal basic income is now a serious policy consideration in the United States, but objections that unconditional cash payments might be used insalubriously are common. Alternatively, we suggest a universal food income that would provide all U.S. households with a monthly electronic benefit transfer payment whose use would be restricted to SNAP-eligible foods.

The benefit could be tied to the USDA Moderate-Cost Food Plan, which reflects the cost of a nutritionally recommended diet. The policy could be enacted in federal legislation (e.g., the Farm Bill) and, by guaranteeing sufficient income for a healthy diet, would have a substantial public health effect. Furthermore, food programs typically have high “money multiplier” effects — a dollar put into the program often produces more than a dollar in subsequent economic activity — which would support economic recovery.

Second, we recommend reforming unemployment insurance. Working conditions vary substantially by race and ethnicity, and

precarious employment, low wages, and lack of benefits can undermine pandemic-control efforts. Before Covid, the unemployment insurance system had seen declining income-replacement levels and had not adapted to current labor conditions (e.g., independent contractors and “gig economy” workers are ineligible, despite representing a growing segment of the workforce). The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act addressed many of these issues but will expire on July 31, 2020.⁵ The fixes should be extended by federal legislation during the current crisis.

But ultimately, state-level reforms that increase the income-replacement rate and broaden eligibility are needed. Unemployment-insurance reform could enable social distancing by making it possible for more people to stay home. It would also help to improve health equity over time by giving workers a better bargaining position. A more robust unemployment-insurance system would make workers feel less pressure to accept dangerous or inequitable working conditions.

Finally, we need policies supporting investment in community development. Neighborhood-level differences in housing availability, education, and economic opportunity are key drivers of disparities. Historical and ongoing segregation, redlining, and underinvestment have led to a lack of high-quality affordable housing and depleted neighborhood resources.

Two key pieces of federal community-development legislation are the Low Income Housing Tax Credit and the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA). Rulemaking by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

also acts as an important lever for influencing CRA implementation. Community-development corporations, affordable-housing developers, and community-benefit financial institutions should take a strengths-based approach that builds on an area's assets by expanding affordable housing, mitigating toxic environmental conditions, and increasing local economic opportunity. Such development would not only help communities respond to the pandemic, but would also advance health equity over the long term by improving living conditions.

Decades of systemic underinvestment have contributed to health disparities, and it is unrealistic to think that health equity will be achieved without a major investment of resources. Where a society devotes its financial resources indicates its values. It is perverse to say that we value health equity if we aren't willing to make the investments necessary to redress inequities. If the Fed-

eral Reserve can come up with \$2.3 trillion to support the financial system during the pandemic, providing adequate support for individuals is a matter of political will, not economic feasibility.

The Covid-19 pandemic affects everyone, but not equally. The same patterns of power, privilege, and inequality that run throughout American life are recapitulated in this health crisis. Nevertheless, every American is vulnerable to Covid-19. This fact should inspire values of collective action, solidarity, and universalism. Undoubtedly, some people will think these proposals are radical or ruinous. But if we want to take health equity seriously, now is the time to think big.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available at NEJM.org.

From the Division of General Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, and the Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill (S.A.B., C.W.C.); and the Divi-

sion of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine and Boston Medical Center, and Boston Health Care for the Homeless Program — both in Boston (A.C.).

This article was published on July 22, 2020, at NEJM.org.

1. Price-Haywood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and mortality among black patients and white patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:2534-43.
2. Hooper MW, Nápoles AM, Pérez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and racial/ethnic disparities. *JAMA Network*. May 11, 2020 (<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2766098>).
3. Bibbins-Domingo K. This time must be different: disparities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. April 28, 2020 (<https://www.acpjournals.org/doi/10.7326/M20-2247>).
4. Fitzpatrick KM, Harris C, Drawve G. Assessing U.S. food insecurity in the United States during COVID-19 pandemic. Fayetteville: University of Arkansas, 2020 (https://fulbright.uark.edu/departments/sociology/research-centers/community-family-institute/_resources/community-and-family-institute/revised-assessing-food-insecurity-brief.pdf).
5. Konczal M. Unemployment insurance is a vital part of economic freedom. *The Nation*. May 29, 2020 (<https://www.thenation.com/article/economy/cares-act-unemployment/>).

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp2021209

Copyright © 2020 Massachusetts Medical Society.